Amdt. dated December 22, 2005

Reply to Office Action of November. 28, 2005

REMARKS

Election/Restrictions

In response to the Office Action restriction of one of the following inventions was required:

- I. Claims 3-12 are drawn to a composite concrete pavement classified in Class 404, Subclass 27.
- II. Claims 13-15 are drawn to a composite pavement classified in Class 404, Subclass 17.

In response to the above requirement, applicant provisionally elects to prosecute claims to Group I, numbers 3-12.

This requirement is traversed, however, since applicant has amended claim 13 such that it is believed to be generic to Groups I and II.

Applicant has included a new claim 16 incorporating limitations of claim 3 but deleting the specific recited values of modulus of rupture and specified compressive strength recited in claim 3. This claim is believed to define over the prior art or essentially the same basis as claim 3, discussed in the previous amendment.

It is believed that the application is now in condition for allowance and favorable action is requested.

Appln. No. 10/775,779

Amdt. dated December 22, 2005

Reply to Office Action of November. 28, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Robert C. Smith, Reg. No. 17,500

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN E. WAGNER

3541 Ocean View Boulevard

Glendale, CA 91208

(818) 957-3340

RCS:mm

I:\Patent\Sapozhnikov\04.RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT